Survey

## Reference

Morgenstierne, Georg. 1947. Some features of Khowar morphology. Norsk tidsskrift for sprogvidenskap 14.5-28.

You may keep this copy.

From: Norsk tidsaklift for sprogridenskap. 13d.14 (Oslo 1947).

## SOME FEATURES OF KHOWAR MORPHOLOGY

115

## Grore, Morgensherne

howar is an Indo-Aryan, Dardie, language spoken in Chitral, a hill-state which occupies the north-westermiest corner of the Indian Empire. The country is wild and rugged in the extreme, and only small patches of fertile, cultivated ground are scattered about in the narrow valleys, which appear as mere fissures in the mass of mountains, rising to about 7800 metres in the imposing peal of Ferich Mer. In spite of the high altitude of the Hindu Kush passes Chitral has from ancient times been in lively communication with the Iranianspeaking valleys in Badakhshan and the Pamirs. To the east Khowar overflows into the upper part of the Gilgit valley, where it is in contact with Indo-Aryan Shina and the Werchikwar dialect of Burn-Shaski. In recent times Pashto has conquered the territory south of Chitral, but in some localities ancient Dardie dialects are still spoken. To the west Khowar borders upon the Kafir language Kati, which is also found in some settlements within the borders of Chitral, Kafasha, another Dardie tongue, formerly occupied the greater part of southern Chitral, but has now been pushed back into the western side-valleys. Some other minor dialects, Iranian and Dardie, are spoken in various places in Chitral, but Khowar is the main language of the state, and the number of its speakers may approach to 100 000.

Khowar has no written literature, but its prestige as being the official language of the state and the aristocratic character of Chitrali society have led to the stabilization of a comparatively homogeneous norm. There are no well-defined dialects, perhaps partly because the peasants are frequently being transferred from one part of the state to another by the hig landowners.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf., reg. Khowar, Report on a Linguistic Mission to Alphanistan, pp. 69, 599, and Rep. on a Lingu. Miss. to N. W. India, pp. 46, 599.

According to Sir George Grierson's well-known theory the Dardic languages, among which he includes also the Kafiri group, form a special branch of Indo-Iranian. And he considers Khow, as occupying an independent position within Dardic: "The Kafir and Dard ( Eastern Dardic) groups are much more nearly related to each other than either is to Khōwar. On the other hand Khowar shows traces of connection with the Ghalchah languages ( Iranian Pamir languages) spoken north of the Pamirs which are wanting in the other two groups. It thus resembles a somewhat alien wedge inserted between the other two groups and thrusting them apart, coming into the country subsequently to the other two and after it had developed some of the Ghalchah characteristics1,"

I am unable to share these views. The Dardie languages, in contradistinction to the true Kafir group2, are of pure IA origin and go back to a form of speech closely resembling Vedic. This state of affairs cannot be altered by the fact that Dardie has preserved many archaisms lost in later IA languages, nor by the wide-spread loss of aspiration.

As regards Khowar it must be admitted that its vocabulary contains a large percentage of words which cannot be traced back to IA. A fair number of them are Ir. loan-words of various age3, and so far Grierson is justified in assuming a strong influence upon Khowar from the languages beyond the Hindu Kush. A group of words are shared with Burushaski and Shina, but a great number of the most common terms are of unknown origin. Thus, e. g., 7ce eye; apak mouth; krem back; camoth finger; iski heel; askar hings, and several other names of parts of the body.

It is also possible that the loss of gender and the formation of the numerals 11-19 (ten + one, etc.) in Khow, (and Kalasha) may have some connection with parallel phenomena in neighbouring Ir. languages, such as Yidgha and Wakhi. The loss of gender cannot

<sup>1</sup> I.SI, I, 1, p. 111.

<sup>7</sup> Cf. Indo-Eur. & in Kafiri, NTS, XII, pp. 225, 894-

Cf. Iranian Elements in Khowar, BSOS, VIII, pp. 675, sqq.

<sup>\*</sup> But sth > th; thun post, pillar; thutill fat; poss, diaenth door < \*diaentrasthu-, Cf. Aśoka, Shahbazgarhi asti is, but grahathas householder.

<sup>1</sup> Shahbazg, Guta- heard. - Note, however, Khow, srung horn, with 8 from Ir ?

be ascribed to the elision of final vowels, which is common to most modern IA languages. In the great majority of cases the category of gender has been saved by the employment of enlarged stems in Aa, Ab, and the same way might have been open to Khowar.

The general structure of Khow, is, however, purely IA, and several archaic features have been retained in its phonology. Thus we not only find st (host hand; istari star; istri female)  $^{6}$ ; sv, sv, sm, sm > sp,  $^{5}v$ , sp, sp (ispasār sister; išpašūr father-in-law; ispa we; grisp summer), but also sv (asru tear;  $^{5}v$ -on hip)  $^{5}v$ , v-t and v-d (hort stone; thūrt ford; birti having died; hardi hearth;  $^{6}v$ - $^{5}v$ - $^{5}v$ - $^{5}v$ - $^{5}v$ - $^{5}v$ - $^{5}v$ -t (brūr brother; isparu white; porth to fall, to fall asleep; arti satisfied  $^{6}v$  "arirti "a-triptika-1;  $_{s}v$ - $_{s}v$ -

But the most striking feature of Khow, is its remarkable inflectional archaisms, and a short survey of some elements of its morphology may perhaps be of some interest to readers outside the narrow circle of specialists in modern IA linguistics. A more complete grammar will be published later on, and here I shall only endeavour to draw attention to the main outlines of the morphological system.

Nearly all modern IA languages have greatly reduced the inflectional distinction between ancient noun-stems, and only in a few, such as Kashmiri and Sindhi, do we find more extensive traces of the original system. In Khow, the inflection of the ancient bases in a has prevailed upon all other stems, probably in connection with the loss of the category of gender. Apart from the secondary difference of inflection between animate and inanimate nouns the only irregularity appearing in the paradigms of nouns is the use of some special plural suffixes, the loss of final u and the syncope of svarabhakti-vowels before case-suffixes.

Assimilation of r takes place only before a cerebral y: book to rain; cf. Shahhazg. organ year. — R before t is voiceless, and is witten hr in the GP. Cf. S. Kalasha ser; N. Kal. sin (gen. silas) bridge < \*seb = Khow, ser.</p>

The descriptions of Khow, morphology given in the LSI, by Biddulph (Fribes of the Hindoo Koosh), and by O'Brien (Gramm, and Voc. of the Khowar Dialect) are not complete or accurate in all particulars.

In the great majority of modern IA languages the ancient case system has been radically reduced, and nothing more than debris remain. Only in the North-West do we find more extensive traces of the original inflection of nouns. Thus in Sindhi (c. g. dehu nonvillage; -a obl., voc.; -ā abl.), Kashmiri (c. g. čur thief; -as dat.; -an ag.; -a abl.; -i loc.), and Pashai (Laujowan dialect pūtr son; putra obl.; -as gen.; -āi dat., and in inanimate nouns = -ai abl.).

But Khowar stands alone in retaining, in the inflection of inanimate nouns, six of the seven Old IA cases!: Nom, twith some traces of a special treatment of the acc.l, gen., dat., loc., instr., and abl.

[1.] The Khow, nom, or casus rectus, is used (1) as a subject and (2) as an indefinite object? E. g., n'an tan 2'awo to confor g'anitai3 the mother [her] own son-for a pellet-bow seized; ma ispusaro dur ser it is my sister's house; i khōi sūi having sewn a cap; iškār areni they hunted; iškār bajai he went hunting.

3). It is also used about time tindefinite), e. g., i anus one day; i sal toyo žo bo hoi GP one year his [crop of] grain was good thi, became much); grisp yomeon tu sorar pair pušūr no zilos GP summer and winter thou never catest any meat but head.

In the GP the nom, of a noun denoting time is combined with the obl, of a demonstrative pronoun, e. g., in hata anus di heë kyarax no hoi that day too nothing happened. Thus three combinations are possible; hase a anus that day (nom. + nom.); hate!a anus (obl. + nom.) and hate a anuso (obl. + obl.). Historically the type hate anus goes back to tain divasam (or tasmin divase), and also synchronically hase anus and hate anus may be said to represent, as a group, two different cases.

Nomine, 10

<sup>4</sup> Reg. the Voc. v. p. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As Khow, has preserved the ancient active preterite, the subject of a transitive verb in the past is also put in the nom,, not in the oblique, as is the case in most other Modern IA languages where the construction is passive. The subject of the past ptc, in -in, when used as a finite verb, is, however, in some instances put in the oblegen: Bafo rārdu the old man said; daryahu aldu the river carried it away.

<sup>3</sup> The examples are partly taken from my own Khow, texts and partly from Khan Sahih Abdul Hakim Khan's useful Translation of the Ganj-i-Pukhto

4). In laya bačen (GP pacin) 'on account of this' the postposition bačen is combined with the nome not with the obl. of the pronoun. The historical explanation possibly is that this expression originally meant 'on this account', with regular, uninflected pronounnal adjective!

5). Finally the nom, is employed with the postposition tolu into: Dūr to utāi he entered the house; kān tu usi having climbed up into the tree; angar tu lakhatam I put it into the fire. To is possibly derived from Skt. antāḥ 'withiu' (with the loc.), with early loss of the initia vowel in an accessory word.

The nom, obviously goes back to the Skt. nom, acc. in -ah, -am etc. It is also possible that in some cases it may represent an ancient locative in -c.

II. The Khowar obl. ends in  $\sigma$ . Words in short  $\sigma$  drop it before  $\sigma$ , thus kumöro girl, obl. of kumöru, but aceşco arrow, from  $aceşu^{*}$ . A svarablakti vowel is clided in kormo work, obl. korum, etc., and  $-\dot{a} + \sigma$  is sometimes contracted into  $-\sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ . E. g.,  $f\bar{a}d\bar{s}J(\sigma)$ , GP  $f\bar{a}d\bar{s}\bar{\sigma}$  king, from  $f\bar{a}d\bar{s}J(\sigma)$ ; hamyānō purse, from hamyana.

The obl. is used:

- 1. As a genitive: Hate moso sor that man's head; gurz'eno m'nza in the middle of the garden; bio burduki GP a seed-bag; kanduri wayo layeq serz of what value is it? chiniko layeq no osoi it ought not to be crushed (lit.: it was not worth crushing).
- 2. As a definite object: Hosto drungētai he stretched out his hand; khōyo sūitai she sewed the cap; ta şapiko no žībom I shall not eat thy bread (but sapik awa tan žībom I shall eat my own bread); hata lyno mā te det, walēkin horsk lyn det GP tell it me, but tell the truth, lit.: give me that word, but give me a true word); žībiko boi

the perfect of

Oblique

into the Khowar dialect, Calc. 1902 (abbrev. GP), a book not mentioned in the bibliography of Khowar given in the LSL.— The transcription of my own texts have in some cases been slightly regularized. The phonological value of quantity is often doubtful, except, usually, in the case of  $\tilde{a}: a_{i} \to GP$  does not distinguish  $s_i$ ,  $c_i$  from  $s_i$ ,  $c_i$ .

V. below, p. 17.

Ymal m < akû, û < akû. But note ej în ûp water < adaka-; Silôp tale < doka-; birmep walnut > "ciûrraka-; etc.

he can eat it. The distinction between definite and indefinite object is common to many IA and Ir. languages.

- (3.) About local relations, denoting a movement towards or into: Awa hamoş kormo him-an 1 am going for such a work; tan duro hai he came to his own house; haya hamyānō (acc.) haya reṣūo (gen.) golo (illat.) dōm GP I shall put this purse into this bull's throat; ta ēheho pehēuni they throw thee into the well. Note haya ēheho hasa šēr asur GP the tiger is inside this well.
- (4) Temporally I anuso one day (used by some speakers of Khow, i anus); chivo hal bos stay [here] for the night; osto anuso on the eightth day; chuo.... anuso GP by night.... by day.
- 5. Adverbially: Wesen biko when evening came; the we-keliko di roto xosan koriko boi and he can also without tears (lit.: weeping) make a man happy.
- 6 In the plur, as a vocative: Ei brärginiän O brothers; è yārānan GP friends. In the sing, the nom, with ê(i) is used as a voc.: èi nan or nan-è O mother.
- (7) As a base for most postpositions and nouns functioning as postpositions. E. g.:
- a) Te (dial. ten) to, for: Hate weşwo anziitai tan brāro te he sent the arrow to his (own) brother); tan xuš boko te prai he gave it to his beloved wife; mošo te rētai she said to her husband; iškāro te ( iškār) bayai he went hunting; duwartho te hai he came to the door; wezeno te dura bi having gone home in (towards) the evening.
- b) Sar from: I mošo sar bašar arer GP he asked (from) the man; tan pādšō sar xabar gaņim I shall get news [about it] from my king; hate wano sar ai ažitai a snake was born from that old woman; haya moš he mošo sar Jam this man [is] better than that man.
- c) Sum (dial. sū) with: Xalano sum bayai GP he went off with the mouse; nano sū together with his mother; mā sum choi rupaya šeni GP I have (lit.: there are with me) three rupees. Skt. samam.
- d) Nasa near: Faqiro nasa toritai he arrived near the faqir. Cf. nasār from (the proximity of); O'Brien nasīna around; nast in front of < Skt. nāsā-, nasta(ka)- nose?

Arthena might have resulted in '(r)then, but scarcely in te(n). - Cf. autike?

- (e) Gona near, close to: Novero gona near the castle, hate uco gona i jos ser there is a [patch of] grass near that spring.
- for Acha after: Awa bim-an wesseo acha I am going after (for) the arrow. Skt. paścāt, in sandhi > \*vacha, and, with further reduction in an accessory word, acha?
- g) Bačetn), GP pačin for the sake or. Kormo bače(n) on account of the work. Acc. to Bloch (L'indo-aryen, p. 173) < pakṣeṇa, but we should then have expected \*bachen.
- In Sara (from sor head)? on, etc.: Tan kapalo sora lakhitai he placed it on his head; topo haqq ma sora ser he has a right upon me; mā sora zioùwar asur GP he is stronger than I am; bo qahro sora duro te hapai GP he came to the house in great anger; hava mōs fando sora ma tan dura angiti . . . . this man, having brought me to his house by deceit, . . . .
- i) <u>Muži</u> between; mužār out of. Iskimān muži in the middle of) the silk (obl. pl.); tan žindo mužār užitai he took it out of his coat. Several other nouns are also used as postpositions.

The following cases are, with rare exceptions, formed only from in animate nouns. They are all of a local nature, and it was therefore natural that they should be used especially with regard to nouns denoting inanimate objects and places. Also in Pashai the abl. is found only with inanimate nouns. In Khowar this tendency has been earried through completely, and has resulted in an inflectional distinction between animate and inanimate nouns. This distinction appears also in the formation of the plural, and has been strengthened by the restriction of asur 'is' to animate subjects, while the corresponding form with inanimate subjects is ser < sete.

With animate nouns the local relations are expressed by means of the ostpositions nasa, sum, sar, etc. A few cases have, however, been noted of sar and sum in combination with inanimate nouns (durdānō sar GP from the pearl; burdūiko sum with the bag).

The case-suffix o probably goes back to Apabhramsa oahu or a similar form derived from Skt. oasya, with special reduction in

<sup>2</sup> But soro as an independent noun, e. g., in tan soro upo drem I shall pour the water at (over) my head. — Sor is a LW from Ir.

a termination. In the monosyllabic kas, obl. of  $k\dot{a}$  'who', the fuller form has been retained'.

III. First Locative ('Allative'?) in -a.

1. In many, perhaps in most cases, it denotes a movement towards, or an arrival at a place. E. g., hote gurz'ena hore go to that garden (cf. gurz'eno te bore go tolwards) the garden); gurz'eno te bar'ai, gurz'ena t'oritai he went towards the garden and arrived at the garden; hate nororal toritani they arrived at the castle; soto dura utāi he entered the seventh house; t'axta niš'āi he sat down on the throne; huša hāi he came to his senses; p'ayo kap'alo tan kap'ala l'akhi'am I put the goat's head on my own head; balaha parir GP he will fall into misfortune; chinika prai he began (lit., he gave, struck) to break.

But it is frequently used as an 'adessive' or 'inessive'. P'ona i dür sir'āi there was a house on the road; p'ona ki bay'au when thou hast gone on the road; dèwo hosta bandi asioii they are prisoners in the div's hands; d'uro šar'ana èhat os'oi in the courtyard of the house there was a pond; i burd'ūikia of'in h'ate w'ono te pr'ai he gave the old woman millet in a bag; tan hardia fikr arer GP he thought in his heart; durbīna lolitai he looked through the field-glasses

(Temporally: Il'ezem in the evening that wezeno te in, towards the evening); har wezena every evening that also har amistol every day); hisr bara GP twenty times. Adverbially: Hate sahaba for this reason; haya qisma GP in this manner.

3. As a base for the postposition pal until: Ma durar tan dura pat from my house to his own house; yor dika pat until sunrise; tā graniša pat LSI until midday; surār gani ponga pat GP (having taken) from head to foot.

Khow,  $-a < \bar{a}$  goes back, through Apabhramsa  $\bar{a}$ , to Skt. dat.  $\bar{a}ya$ . Cf. Skt.  $gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}ya$  gacchati he goes to the village. It is very remarkable that the dat., which had already been weakened in Skt., and which dissappears altogether within the course of Middle IA develop-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. e. g. Nep. ahh > athl, but kas; Central Asiatic Kharosthi Inver. aga (5.7-aza), but yasya, V. Turner, The phonetic weakness of terminational elements in Indo-Aryan, JRAS, 1927, p. 232-54.

ment, should have been retained as a case fully alive in Khowar. But phonetically no other derivation seems possible, and it is very improbable that a should be a secondary, postpositional element. Also in Pashai of Laurowan the dat. (in ai) has been preserved, but only with animate nouns and as an indirect object. In Khowar, on the other hand, the use of the ancient oblique cases, with the exception of the genitive, has been restricted to inanimate nouns and local relations.

IV. Second Locative in *i*. This case is used as well with verbs of movement as with verbs denoting staying or resting in a place, and in very many cases it is impossible to detect any different shade of meaning between expressions with *a* and with *i*. Thus either case may be used with *as*- to be (in); *bik* to go (to); *gik* to come (to); *torik* to reach.

- 1. As an allative: Topo duri bayai he went to his house (but tan dura bayai he went to his own house); athe duri hai he came back home (dura giti having come to the house); ispa hosti ki goi when he came into our hands; mehi no tarir-an it does not reach the waist (nopora toritai he reached the castle); biloyi pehčitani they put it into a niche; xatani lolitani they looked into the room.
- 2) As an inessive or adessive: Haya duri šer it is in this house; duri šer LSI, Sent. 226 (but Sent. 223 ta tato dura in thy father's house); ta duri bas bom I shall spend the night in thy house; mā hardii šer GP I think (lit. it is in my heart); mehi boti asur GP he is bound at the waist; tan muži lū dirū they spoke amongst themselves; šimēni ma mehi the rope [is] round my waist. Temporally: Anusi daily.

This locative in -i cannot be derived from Skt. -c, which would have been lost<sup>2</sup>, but must go back to Apabhramsa -ahim, O. Singh. -ahi, etc. < -asmin. Regarding the phonetical development cf. Turner, loc. cit., p. 230.

In Skt. the loc, denotes as well 'the spot where' as 'the spot whither's. In the latter function it overlaps with that of the dat., and

<sup>2.</sup> For traces of Skt. loc in or v. above, nominative, I, 31, 51.

<sup>1</sup> Speyer, Sanskrit Syntax, 9 133.

this may have been the starting point for the Khowar extension of the use of the dat, as an ad- and incessive.

- V. Instrumental in en tpoetically in one case enc).
- 1. Instrument: Bardoven, borlen, banen dik to strike with an axe, a stone, a stick; pečen pošitai GP he saw with his eye; ke pušūren tan soro ahrtiyės GP that thou mayest gorge (satisfy) thyself on [ber] flesh.
- Cause: Uşakien deir it will be frost-bitten by cold; buhrhumen tez destai GP it ran off with baste from fear; chuien bryūm-an GP I am dying of hunger.
  - 3. Reference to: I yeten kame blind on one eye.
- 4. Locally: Boye ponen go along the road; haya ponen mo geh GP don't come along this road; Kasalagaken bisi LSI we shall go by way of Kashalagak; hate baxen yor dura toritai the sun reached the house through this hole; jangala (sic!) oče anen oče dašten oče beyābānen kosau ošoi GP he was wandering through forests, over hills and plains and through deserts. -- Cf. Skt. mārgeņa gacchati.
- 5. Temporally: Chuien by night; urti anusen (by) the next day. Khow, -en Skt. -ena. The use of the incidentally similar obl. in -en in Yidgha mixen by day, etc., is probably due to the influence of the adjoining and dominating Khowar.

IV. Ablative in -ār1. The abl. is used:

- 1. Locally (and as a partitive): Tan durâr baçar he went away from his house; hostār hosti GP from hand to hand; ororār ruphitai he rose from sleep; handār xalās GP released from prison (but mo sār xalās released from him), uçar ma te piāwe let me drink from (of) the water; chirār tan nano te prai he gave his mother of the milk.
- 2. Temporally: ju bišir basār ačhī after forty days; anusār anus GP day by day; troi bas ačhār GP after three days; bo janjalār ačhār GP after much quarrelling.
- 3. Causally: He duro urikār pēšmān būs thou wilt be regretful from opening that door; rōyān brikār to te kya sūd no būi there will be no advantage to thee from the death of the people.

th One of my informants used also the form and Cf Bubblulph, Tribes of the a linday Knosh, p. 68 (in a song) toward from the body.

4. In comparisons: Haya mošār yair xor moš GP4 another man than this man; sorār pušūr GP other meat than head; hamār zyūt GP more than this; hateyār di jam hoi it became still [more] beautiful \( \text{than that} \).

Khowar -ār < Pkt. -ato5

Plural. — All inanimates and many animate nouns have no suffix in the nom, plur, E. g.: Bort topo bidi ošoni the stones were in his lap; tā host ranzury-an GP thy hands are shaking; pairadār asitani there were watchmen; day topo te rētani the boys said to him; rēni rayistani GP the dogs barked; Hindustani hairān hōni GP the Hindustanis were astonished; ist'or asini a? are they horses? hayara aždār asini there are dragons here; boik mari alai he killed and brought away birds. — After numerals, too, the sg. is used.

Other animate nouns, chiefly of Prs. origin, have a nom. plur, in an: Parian retani the fairies said; ke i žayā bo bandiān bāni GP if there are many prisoners in a place; kumārān rētani the girls said.

Nouns demoting relationship (tat father; nan mother; brår brother; żūr daughter; bok wife; wan grandmother, etc.) and also maristan 'slave' have nom, plur, in -gini. E. g., brårgini.

Satar 'servant' and landi 'unfaithful wife' take the nom, plur, suffix -lak.

Zau 'son' has a reduplicated nom plar. žížau.

The obl. plur, suffix is always -\delta u^6. E. g.: Hami paloyan alti having taken away these apples: diwarthan uvitai he opened the doors; pongàn te lolitai GP he looked at the feet; tan hardian xāli korūr GP unburden your minds: \( \text{pedan xar xal \delta er} \) GP the eyes have a different taste; \( fu \) bisir mosan maritai he killed forty men; \( tan \) kyeli \( \delta n \) m'a te det give me your sheep; \( uthan \delta n \) sora on the camels; \( boggiman \text{to his wives}; \) \( dagan \text{i ustād asistai GP the boys had a teacher; \( \delta adarbākan hus \delta er \) he informed his servants; \( \delta i \delta awan \text{lolitai} \) he looked at his sons.

Interest to

<sup>4.</sup> The only example noted of a local case of an animate noun.

A hair after < 'pascatah, but acha < pascat? Cf. above.

<sup>6</sup> The rules regulating the distribution of forms in and and are not clear.

Nom, plurals in san have an obl. in sanan: Waziranan, ministers. hase parianan istor1 the fairies' horse; dūstānan GP friends2. In the plur, the vocative particle is combined with the obl. E.g., ti brārginyān o, brothers; č kambaxtán GP o, miscrable ones; č yārānan GP o, friends.

The paradigm of the plural is as follows:

Sadarbāk à isau brārgini parian dag Nom. 200 žižaudu. šadarbākān. parianan brarginian dagān Obl. yečán -

The other plur, eases are formed by adding postpositions to the obl. Thus, belan sum GP with spades (instr.); kuteran sora GP with axes (instr.); tā mālān sar GP about thy goods (abl.). This functional equivalence of real cases (of inanimate sing, nouns) and of postpositional expressions for animate nouns and plurals) is a characteristic feature of Khowar declination. The suffixless nom, plur, may go back to -āh. The nom, plur, in -ān is probably of Prs. origin, while the obl. plur, in -an is derived from Skt. -anam. It is very improbable that there is any connection between the Khowar forms in -unan and the Pashto plur, in -anan (malikanan, yaranano, etc.). More probably it is formed by adding the obl. plur. an to a nom, plur, in -ān.

The plur, suffix 'gini may go back to some derivative of Skt. gaņa-. With zizau cf. the augmentative reduplication in liloth (very) big, from loth big.

Personal Pronouns. - Only in the sing, is there any distinction between the nom, and the obl.

<sup>1</sup> But also parian surdar the chief of the fairies.

<sup>3</sup> Note auhunanan 'the friends' (in a song) with double an-,

<sup>3</sup> O'Brien gives nom, pl. d'akan boys, gen. pl. d'akanô and Biddulph istarân horses, gen. pl. istordio Acc. to O'Brien the pl. of an mountain is ann'en (gen. ann'eno; abl. anniender), while the LSI gives the form anne as abl. sg. and pl. - The only example given by O'Brien is mrinyana (gen.) in Sentence 134. In Feroze Din's very unreliable Hand Book on Chitrali & Gilgiti Languages (Rawal Pindi & Murree, 1902) we find ju astoria two horses; farangiana market service with officers from. pl farangiant; glachino rushti eyesight; lenyano of the Levies' (nom. leyian).

	Person	and Person
Nom. Sing		tũ
Obl. Sing	mã	tā
Plur	ispa	bisa 🐎 pisa.

Pkt. Ahakam > Kharoshthi Doc. ahu + a (from ma) > awa?  $M\bar{a} < \Lambda$ šoka Shahbazg, maa;  $t\bar{a}$  is probably a secondary form, as it seems likely that tava would have resulted in \*ta. -- Ispa < \*(a)spa4 < asmā(n). — For bisa Bloch' suggests a derivation from vaļi + \*smat. This is possible if we assume a dissimilation of \*vasma > \*vasva into \*vasa b.

## Demonstrative Pronouns:

	This		That (This)		That (He), (The)	
	Subst.	Adj.	Subst.	Adj.	Sulist.	Adj.
Nom. Sing.	(halva	(halya	hes	hc	(11) 20	(halse)
Obl. •	(ha)mo		horn	>	(ha)toyo	(ha)te2
ΛЫ, ►	ham(a;·)ār				Challa ar	•
Nom. Plur.	(ha)mit	(ha)mi	het		(hallet	>
Obl	(ha)mi'an	ъ	hetan	b-	(ha)letan	

The his forms are probably slightly emphatic, but by far not so much as e.g. Prs. ham in, ham an. As a matter of fact, ya, se and several other simple forms are rare, while topo is quite common. Tcoccurs only in GP to něhůr after that, thereupon.

He(s) is commonly used in contrast with haya; but the exact difference between hels) and hase is difficult to define from the material available. Hels) is especially common in oratio directa in the tales,

Biddulph, p. 89, in a song has ghelehini eyes lobjecth. I have not come across any such forms in my material, nor are they found in the GP or in the LSI.

Cf Wakhi, I.W from Khowar, sfå (Indo-Ir, Frontier Languages, II, p. 491).

<sup>1</sup> Lindoaryen, p. 193.

<sup>\*</sup> Or, if Turner, BSOS, V,  $\mu$ , 133, is right in assuming a development sm>spm> 4. of Varja > Vasa.

Oli, O'Brien, LSI hasa, hala.

<sup>\* 6</sup> Cf. e. g., Shumashti (a)te they, those; Wakhi (ha)yem this, (ha)yan that. - V. also Bloch, op , cit., p. 199.

<sup>2</sup> Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvidenskap NIV

The distinction between pronominal substantives and adjectives and the reduced inflection of the pronominal adjectives are common to several Dardic languages, such as Pashai, Phalula and Shumashti.

Examples: Haya hase saib-i sūrat asur this one is the master (portraited person) of the picture; ya keča moš asur? what kind of man is this one? hamo jawābo tu mo te rā tell him the answer to this; hamār ache GP after this; hamey'ār žibe eat of this; hamit retani these [men] said; mit bo hom these ones have become many; hamitan khalan gaṇi having seized both of these ones; awa mitan žibiko no bōm 1 cannot cat these [dishes].

Haya durdāna GP this pearl; haya gurzeno mēwāan the fruits of this garden; hami daqan GP these boys; mi pulungustu these finger-rings.

Hes fam boi he will recover (litt. get well); horo awetām 1 took him away; hetan žihōsi we will eat them; het chuţi paritani they turned into dust. — Hē kambox GP this branch (nom.); he uĉo utār let him enter into this well; he sot čidin those seven kettles.

Hase hate şapik-pačeako dür birāi that is that baker's house; (ha)topo te rētam I said to him; se tonj hoi he disappeared; hatayār from that place, time; tayār ačhe GP after that; hatet ta brārgini asum they are thy brothers; tet burtūitami they got afraid; (ha)tetan sum together with them. Hase mõš that man; se pažāl that shepherd; hate mošo no poširai he did not see that (the) man; hate şak brārgini haropo hate boko hate istoro sum i kori gaņi badšō gona bayāni those (the) other brothers, having taken that wife of his together with the horse, went near the king; hate pariānan istor the fairies' horse.

The derivation of ya from ayam, iyam and of mo from imām, Pkt. imassa is evident. Mi-(t) must go back to ime, but we should, perhaps, expect \*me. Se probably from NW. Pkt. se = so (or, if sa is the more archaic form, < sa?). Obl. sg. tela < tam, tat, while toyo goes back to \*takasya, cf. Vedic takai- this (demin.); Shahbazg, ctakena. Pl. te(t) < te.

H-es < \*csale, with s for s from sale, and horo (possibly with assimilation from \*ha-ro?)<sup>1</sup> < ctam, etc. From ete we should expect

できているとのできるとのでは、あるとのできるというできるというで

<sup>1</sup> Feroze Din has haro, but his notation of vowels is inexact in the extreme.

\*er, or \*re but it seems possible that also het has been influenced by the corresponding form of the tat- pronoun;

$$lc > lc \cdot \cdot \cdot lc$$

 $ete > {}^{*}c\partial e > ete$  (with t from tet + (h)et.

From *het* the \*t was transferred also to *mit* and *tet*, while the original forms *mi*, *te* (and analogically *het*, were, for unknown reasons, restricted to the pronominal adjectives.

The same pronominal stems appear also in ha-mo-ş in this manner, ha-ro-ş thus; ha-mu-ni this much; (ha)-ru-ni that much; (ha)-ya-ra here; he-ra, (ha)-te-ra there; ha-ye-i here; hē-i, ha-tē-i there. --- Cf. also ha-nūn to-day; (ha)-nise(-n) now; ha-nva at that time.

The Interrogative and Indeterminate Pronoun is  $k\bar{a}$ , obl.  $k\bar{o}s$  tanimate); kya, obl. khyo (inanimate). -  $Kos \sim kasya$ , with retention of s(s) in a monosyllabic; but  $khyo \sim *kiha < *kisya : Cf. Hind. <math>kis$  animate; kahc inanimate, with a similar unexplained difference in the treatment of ss.

Verbs. — The ancient athematic conjugation has been given up as in all other Modern IA languages. Thus, asur he is : \*asati?. But the various thematic stems are still to a large extent kept apart in the acrist-future derived from the ancient present. Khowar distinguishes between acrists in -um (-ium), -ōm, -im, and -cim.

I. Aorists in sum as a type go back to sami. E. g. cichum I learn sikṣāmi; langum I move langhāmi; anjum I put on clothes < asmunicami; pačur ripens, is cooked spaceati < pacyate; brium I die < mriyāmi; berčur is left behind < visricyate; čhiur is broken < \*chid(i)vate.

11. The agrist in  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m}$  is limited to a small group of verbs. Ancient formations are  $kor\delta m$  1 do < karomi;  $b\delta m$  1 become < Pali  $bh\delta mi$   $< bhav\delta mi$ , and possibly  $\delta ib\delta m$  1 eat < vure vaumi, with dissimilation < vur vaumi (?) $\delta m$  'I give' has been secondarily transferred into this conjugation, and the etymologies of  $\delta m$  1 bring;  $\delta m$  1 take away are unknown.

<sup>2</sup> Prob. not < dsati. Short are does not result in o in present stems.

Of. below, p. 24. The change of r > b, as in initial position, is remarkable.

III. Aorists in -m go back to -emi < ayāmi, -aimi, and -iyāmi. E. g., marim 1 kill < marayāmi; bažim 1 divide < Pali \*bhajemi\* (Skt. bhajami); dārīm 1 bave, hold « dhārayāmi; bīm 1 go (away) · apemi · apaimi; burir melts < viliyate. Many verbs in original · ami have been transferred into this class, just as is the case in Pkt., etc. Thus, basir it rains; parim I fall down, go to sleep < patāmi etc. It is worth noticing that all representatives of the Skt. 9th present class have am in Khowar. Thus, krenim I buy; brenim I shear2; funim 1 winnow; gaṇim 1 take; żanim, O'Brien Janim, I know; bimm (O'Brien 5mm) I knit < Pali < vināmi I weave; manim I plaster, rob < mathuămi (Shina mănci rubs); dunim 1 ponder upon, consider < Pali dhunāti, Skt. dhunoti to shake, toss (2); batim I bind3, and, possibly, thinim I break, cut, if s. \*chidnāmi\*. A derivation from the weak forms in m, which had been climinated already in Pali, is not probable, but the coincidence is remarkable. Note that c has been preserved in the monosyllabic ser it is < sete.

IV.) Causatives and Denominatives in \*tim\* (Imper. 2nd sing. \*āweā) are derived from \*āpemi, Skt. \*āpayāmi. Thus, e. g., pašēim I show (pašim I see); birtim I melt (trans.); drungtim I stretch out (drung long). Note \*drēim I pour out < dravayāmi; rēm I speak \* \*rāvayāmi (?), ru- to roar, make a noise; lēm I find, obtain; reap < \*lābhayati and \*lāvayāmi (ln-).

Regarding  $\langle \bar{a}r \rangle \ll ati$  and  $\langle aur \rangle \ll v$ , below,

Personal Suffixes 3 The personal suffixes of the aorist sing, are  $m_i \cdot s_i \cdot r < mi$ , si, ti. — 1st sing, ti must go back to an analogical form ti ami. After ti the 3 sg. suffix is ti not ti not ti he does, bot he becomes. But note imper, and plur, ti ti barvata and preterite 3rd sing, hor, beside hoi, he became. The reason for this different treatment of postvocalic ti is unknown.

The agrist plur, suffixes are -si, -mi, -mi.) The final -i distinguishes the plur, as a whole from the sing, and prevents homonymy between

<sup>1</sup> Bhojehi, Geiger, Grammatik des Pali, § 139,2. - Infin. marik, but boeik.

<sup>2</sup> O'Brien, in his Corrections, gives hienum, but I heard sim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If, irregularly, with t < dhn.

Scarcely from Pah chindami, as nd seems to be retained when it has remained intervocalic in Khowar. In Khowar final nt d has resulted in -n, which has been

the 2nd sing, and 1st plur, and between the 1st sing, and the 2nd plur. It is of unknown origin and must be a secondarily added particle.

The 1st plur, goes back to -m(a)si, cf., e. g., Pashai -as, -ais (-anz-), and the 3rd plur, of course to -nti. The derivation of the 2nd plur, is uncertain. Most Dardie and Kafiri forms go back to -thana. In early Khowar this suffix ought to have resulted in -thana is it possible that -thana was differentiated into -thana from which -thana > m?

The agrist is used as an indefinite present and as a future. The real, durative, present is formed by adding an to the agrist forms: gamman I take, koronian (koronyan) they make, etc. E. g.: Tu ki bis-an, ma kyohan ka rachir? If you are going, who shall tend my sheep?— But asum I am, etc., ser it is (seni they are) and neki is not do not take the suffix -an.

Imperative: The imperative 2nd sing, ends in c(h): march kill;  $\dot{c}hine(h)$  break. This termination, which goes back to Pkt.  $\dot{c}hi$  < \*ayahi, has been transferred also to verbs in  $\dot{c}um$  and  $\dot{c}um$ ,  $\dot{c}$ .  $\dot{c}um$  brige die,  $\dot{a}ugve(h)$  bring\*. Verbs in  $\dot{c}um$ ,  $\dot{c}im$  have  $\dot{c}uwe(h)$ ,  $\dot{c}uwe(h)$ :  $\dot{b}urtuwe$  fear;  $\dot{p}u\ddot{s}awe$  show < \* $\dot{a}pava(hi)$ . An altogether irregular form is  $\dot{d}et$  give, probably <  $\dot{d}addhi$ .

The 2nd sing, aorists has and alos are used as imperatives, 'be', 'take', and no separate imperative forms exist.

The 2nd plur, imperative of verbs in -im and -um take the suffix -\tilde{u}r < -ata. Thus, gan'\tilde{u}r seize; m\tilde{a}r\tilde{u}r kill; dos\tilde{u}r seize (aor. dasim); boy\tilde{u}r go (away)^2, bry\tilde{u}r die. Verbs in -um have -\tilde{o}r, e. g., b\tilde{o}r < bhavata, but kor\tilde{u}r do < \*karuta has preserved the ancient ending of the Skt. 8th class. Verbs in -tim have a 2nd plur, imperative in -awer, GP -aur; pa\tilde{a}wer show.

The aorist ist plur, in si is used also for the imperative, while the imper-3rd sing, and plur, in sar, san have been taken over from the

(-)<sup>1</sup>

transferred also to inflected forms, c. g., in fon road, loc. poni, but fondi GP provenus s. \*fonthika.

<sup>5</sup> Cf. beschin I win, lo axim I knock: Yidgha (< Khowar) bushum, braxim < \*-awam.</p>

<sup>\*</sup> Kineth) and to 'do', just as known and tom,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From the past stem.

ancient subjunctive. Thus, briyar let him die  $< mriya i^{4}$ ; gaṇāni let them take. But diyar 'let him give' is probably derived from the imperative dadātu, and the contamination with this form has probably helped to preserve the ancient subjunctive forms,

Subjunctive — The actual Khow, subjunctive is characterized by the infix [-cs]. The inflection given by O'Brien, LSI and GP is: -csam; -csū; -csūr; -csām; -csūni; -csūni, but my informant from the Terich valley gave -csūn for the 1st sing? It seems very unlikely that -m, which is the regular 1st sing, ending in all other forms, should have been changed into -n in this single case. On the other hand, a 1st sing, in -n would be an aberrant form which could easily have been replaced by -m in most dialects in order to conform to the general inflectional system. We are therefore, I believe, entitled to consider -n as being the original Khowar form, and as going back to the ancient 1st sing, subjunctive in -ānī. The suffixes of the 2nd sing, and the 1st plur, are the same, originally secondary ones, which we find also in the preterite?

The infix \*rs\* cannot be derived from \*isva\*, which would not have resulted in a dental s. Besides, it is semantically improbable that the future should have been used in conditional clauses. We may perhaps venture the suggestion that the Khowar subjunctive is based upon the the ancient optative. After the loss of final consonants Skt. \*rh and \*rt coalesced in Middle IA \*r, and this form was extended also to other persons\*. Such insufficiently characterized forms may subsequently have been reinforced by the addition of the optative of the auxiliary: \*kare + \*siyāni \* koresān; \*kare \*siyāti > koresīr, etc.\*. The details of the plonetical development are obscure.

The conditional and hypothetical force of the subjunctive will appear from the following examples: Agar t'oyo l'ūo kār koresū, j'am bes'īr. Duw'artho tax'ēisū, awa h'uś k'oresūn, k'ara h'ūi, tan zap'an anjesūn, čh'ān n'o bes'ān if thou hadst listened (lit.: made the car)

<sup>1.</sup> Note bai, not \*bar, let it be.

The 1st plur, does not occur in my texts. The other forms agree with those given by O'Brien, etc. - From my other informants I did not take down any subjunctive forms.

<sup>3</sup> V. below, p. 23 sq.

to his word, it would have been well. If thou hadst knocked at the door, I should have understood who was coming, I should have put on my rags [and] should not have been naked; ke awel hus koresam, the xā ma-xā ilāj asqānio sara bisīr GP it I had only understood it at the first, it is highly probable that some remedy could have been easily devised [for it]then.

```
Preterite. — The regular type is: I took:

Sing. Phur.

1st Pers. gan-ist-am gan-ist-am

2nd - * ist-au * ist-am

3rd * * ist-ai * ist-am.

Irregular verbs:
```

		1 went			1 did				
ıst	Pers,			Plur; bay-ëst-am				Plur. ard <sub>igam</sub>	
2nd		-	au		est-ami		ū		'st-ami
3rd	34	H	ai		ani		cr		

While the 1st sing, and 3rd plur, (and 2nd plur, ?) go back to ancient primary endings transferred to the preterite, the 1st plur, -m < -ma shows that this tense is derived from a true ancient preterite, a type not preserved in any other modern IA language, with the exception of the neighbouring Kalasha.

Also the contrast between the 3rd sing, preter, in \*ai and the present in \*r may have some connection with the ancient distribution of primary and secondary personal suffixes, although it is not possible to derive \*ai directly from any Old LA form. Nor do we know why \*r appears in arer and also in hor 'became', an alternative form of hoi. Also the 2nd sing, \*(a)n is distinguished from the present, but I am unable to suggest any derivation of this suffix\*. The 1st plur.

Thus Pali 1st - 3rd sing, or (beside ocyyant, ocyyanti, etc.), Pkt. 1st - 3rd sing, 3rd plur, oc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cf. the amalgamation of two inflected verbal forms e.g. in the Yidgha imperfect simistim 1 was beating; sitistal than wast beating, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> Or, possibly, 2 sg. -aya > -au; 3 sg. -aya(t) > -ai?

contains no -i. Apparently it was of greater importance to distinguish this form from the 2nd plur, than from the 1st sing.

The origin of the (infix ist) and the reason for its absence in some persons of the irregular verbs are unknown! In some varieties of Khowar the s has been dropped, and I heard e. g. ganitam 'I took'; as(i)tam 'I was' from all my informants.

A few verbs have preserved the augment. The most interesting form is osoi it was < asayat. But we also find obestam 1 could; obvisiam 1 died; obbistam 1 was broken; (o)lestam 1 found; (o)restam 1 said. Also arestam '1 did' probably contains the augment, a-karayam > \*ayār > ārs, with irregular loss of \*y\*\*. Cf. Kalasha āris '1 did' 3. It is also possible that oya-stam '1 ate' goes back to \*a-yau\*, cf 2iliom 1 cat 4 — Kalasha žum:āsis, Kati yu\*\*, etc. Skt. yautī takes hold of 5; mixes, blends; sam\*\*,yu\*\* to devour (cf. also yūy\*\*, yava\*\*, y wasa\*\*!). There is no reason why we should, with Bloch\*, take the 'augment' to be a secondary formation in Khowar and Kalasha. It is difficult to see how such a mimicry of the ancient augment should have arisen.

Other irregular verbs, partly with suppletive inflection, are:

Other Bregular ver	lumi lumani		•
Infinitive Aorist	1st sing.	arditting.	
bik to become bom bik to go bim g k to come gom dik to give dom	hostam bayestam hastam phrestam	hei, hōr bayai hai	< bhavāmi, Kal. bām : hāwis < apaimi : apa ga(ta)-? Kal. im : a (< āyam). < dā- : pra-dā-, Kal. dēm : pra.
augik to bring angom alik to take alim	alestam awestam	alai awai	awai < afā,at? (but cf.
away nišik to sit - nišim down	nišcslam	niŝai	bayar). < ni şid-, but note š. Poss. < ni-şi-?
nisik to rise, nisim	niscstam	nisai.	

It has been borrowed by the Werchikwar dialect of Burushaski, v. Lorimer, The Burushaski Laighage, I, \$ 536-

One informent, who spoke a kind of debased barar-lang, gave the regularized kordam as an equivalent of arctain.

V. Turner, BSOS, IV, p. 539.

From the root b. to belcome) is formed a preterite birestam, bir'au, bir'ai, , , bir'ani, According to O'Brien birai is equivalent to biti asum 'I have become' and is commonly used in connection with the past ptc, in -iru, -(r)du and with the noun of agency in -ak. E. g., k'ārdū birai he has done; nīšik birai he is sitting. -- In my texts and in the GP these forms are, however, used also in other combinations. Thus: I m'os bir'ai. M'oso troi žiž'au astani. B'iko 's žau Pay bir'ai. There was a man. The man had three sons. Then one son was (had become?) bald-headed; i badšā astai, hatopo sot žižau biranilastani there was a king; he had seven sons; i fādšā žau astai, i padšo žuro te ašeg birai there was a prince; he was thad become?) the lover of a [certain] princess; astai no astai, tarai no birai, i fā lšā birai it was and it was not, it happened and it did not happen; there was a king; xor paranu log birani GP the rest was old rags; joi kulum birai, ur bo birai GP the stream was deep, and there was much water [in it].

A parallel preterite from the root \$\vec{s}\$- 'to be' finanimatel's \$\vec{s}irai^2\$. Novoro lolitai, jam no, \$\vec{s}r\$ birai, daulat boh \$\vec{s}irai\$ he looked at the eastle: it was a good eastle and there was a great treasure [in it]\*; fona \$\vec{t}\$ dur \$\vec{s}irai\$ on the road there was a house.

Note that ošolshām is also used with animate subjects: Awa juwān asitāmlošotām I was a young man; ma tat pā lšā ošot . . . awa pādšī žau asitam my father was a king, . . . I was the king's son.

The Habitual Past) which occurs only in the 3rd pers., is formed with -awur (-ownr), GP -aur; -awuri, GP -auri. E. g. koraur he used to do, he would do; zibouni they used to eat. I am unable to trace this form back to any ancient prototype.

Finally there occurs in the GP a form šiūr: Quwatino te šiūr ke it behoves (Psht. xāyi) the strong that [he should, etc.]. Phonetically it might be a present of the type \*siyate.

rut Sh

SATK

<sup>4</sup> V. above, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ublenbock, IF, 25.

<sup>\*</sup> L'indo-aryen, p. 237. But cf. Turner, loc. cit.

<sup>3.</sup> Only the 3rd sing, occurs in the material at my disposal,

F. But also e. g. daulat ma boh ošai I had great riches.

All other past tenses are based upon various participles .

Perfect: Kori asum I have done.

First Pluperfeet: Kori asistam 1 had done, -- This form is not mentioned by O'Brien, it is comperatively rare in my texts, but quite frequent in the GP. E. g. niŝi asitam 1 had sat down (Prs. šišta būdam); angiti astam - angiriū astam 1 had brought; diti astai GP he had given. There seems to be no difference of use between this form and the:

Second Phyperfect! Kārdu ošotām I had done. E. g. girā ošoni they had come; rārdu ošau hadst thou spoken?

Third Pluperfect: Kardu birctām. — E. g., boydū birani, ačhi hāni they had gone away, [but] came back; toyo pušūr ūy birū birai GP his flesh had dwindled away (lit. become water); tu rārdu birau? GP didst thou say? (Psht. pluperfect); behčīru birani GP they were (had been?) left. — This form is probably used as a resultative, denoting rather the state resulting from an action than the action itself.

It is not mentioned by O'Brien.

First Imperfect: Koran osotām (korānstam, koroneistam) I was doing. Second Imperfect: Koran asistam. This form, which is not mentioned by O'Brien, is of rare occurrence.

Third Imperfect: Korau hostam. — I have only come across one example of this form: Yor no zanau hotam, yor hus no korau hotam I did not know [any] sun, I was not aware of [any] sun (lit. I did not become knowing, etc.?). — Ingressive?.

[Habitual Imperfect(?): Korak bire'am lit. 'I was a doer'.

E. g. tetan marak birai he used kill them (Prs. ūrā mēkušt); žibak birai he used to cat them.

The noun of agency in -ak may also be combined with hostam. E.g., pādšā čangak hotam 1 deceived (lit. became a deceiver of) the king.

The system of compound tenses in Khowar is thus much more elastic than that given by O'Brien and in the LSI, (Perf. g'ani-as'um; Imperf. gana'ostam; Pluperf. ganir'u-ostam), and it includes several

<sup>1</sup> V. below.

<sup>:</sup> But of having said < 'rii, etc.

combinations not mentioned in these sources. In some cases the compound tenses have been phonetically amalgamated.

Finally the Perfect Ptc. is used as a finite verb, 3rd sing, and plur. E. g.: I won astai, i bap astai. Tetan angār boydā. Diga angār no lardu. Chui biko dudēri ža;ā zoma angār noitai (or: mālion birā) there was an old woman and an old man. Their fire went out. They found no other fire. When night fell a fire appeared in a far-off place, on a mountain; angāro žī;ā torirā turitai he reached the place of the fire. In some, but not all cases the subject is put in the oblique case: Bapo rārdu the old man said (lit.: sonis dictum?).

The following participles and nominal forms of the verb have been noted:

L.) The Absolutive in <u>i</u>, <u>th</u>. Most verbs have <u>i</u>, thus kuri, gani, bi having gone<sup>2</sup>. But several of the most common, irregular verbs have <u>th</u>. E. g., git having come; angiti having brought; ditt having given; biti having become; zuti having caten; alti having taken away; birti (with b from bri mit) having died.

The absolutive goes back to -(i)ya, Pkt. -ia, and to \*-tviya, Ved. -(ii); Shahbazg, -(ii) (\*-tii).

[H.] The Perfect Participle in -irū, -fr)dū. Regular forms are gaņiru; dvā; angirū, etc. With -idū; bārdū; žurdū; birdū dead; rārdū said; lardū found, and with -dū; boydū gone; alaū taken away.

This participle is derived from -daka, etc., e.g.,  $dir\bar{u} < *ditaka$ ;  $k\bar{u}rdu < *k\bar{u}ritaka^3$ ;  $bo\gamma d\bar{u} < apa-gataka$ .  $Zurd\bar{u}$  and several other forms are analogical.

Present Participle in aula). — It is used in the formation of the imperfect and as an independent participle. — Boyawa fikr korau oświ GP [as he was] going along he was thinking; haya har anuso hayara gyau xausir-an this one, coming here every day, makes a request. — A derivation from scant- is improbable.

<sup>3.</sup> With early syncope, before its resulting in r.

<sup>4</sup> the di to pada karda asuar, one handan d pada kori asus GP I am also one of thy creatures, and these too hast thou created.

IV. Norm of Agency in (i)ak. - Korak actor; marak nurderer; angyak bringer; şapik-pačiak baker.

[V.] Infinitive in -ik ( $\dot{c}ik$ , -iaik). - Korik to do; paččik to cook i biortūik to fear. - The infinitive is case-inflected, and forms in  $-o_1$  -a and  $-\bar{a}r$  occur; but I have not come across any in -i or -cn.

Infinitives in  $\psi k$  are found also in Kalasha, Shina and other Dardie languages.

VI. Passive Participle in in. Tecan sora posin boi GP with our eyes we see (lit.: with the eyes it becomes seent; balenjin biti [the rugs] having been spread out; roian sar juda pehein biti asus GP thou liest (lit.: hast been placed) apart from [other] folk.

Cf. the Sindhi Fut. Pass. Ptc. in sino?

VII. There are also some verbal nouns, e. g., a noun of instrument in -ini: mazini broom (maz- to sweep); kişini plough.

Khowar has built up a new inflectional system, widely different from the Sanskritic one. But to a larger extent than perhaps any other modern IA language it has, in its relative isolation, utilized material handed down from a remote antiquity for the new structure. It is therefore of great importance for our understanding of the evolution of Indo-Aryan.